IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

| PSHATOIA LAROSE, | § |
|---------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Plaintiff, | § § § |
| v. | § Civil Action No. 3:24-CV-2242-L-BN |
| SEAN COMBS, et al., | § § 8 |
| Defendants. | § |

ORDER

On January 16, 2025, the Findings, Conclusions and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge ("Report") (Doc. 15) was entered, recommending that the court **dismiss** without prejudice this action filed by Plaintiff Pshatoia LaRose's ("Plaintiff" or "Ms. LaRose") pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b). No objections have been filed, and the 14-day period to object after service of the Reports has passed. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(1)(2); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). For the reasons stated herein, the court accepts the Report as that of the court.

On January 8, 2025, the court denied Ms. LaRose's Motion for Leave to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* and ordered her to pay the \$405 filing fee within 21 days of the order. Report 2-3 (citing Doc. 14). The court warned Plaintiff that failure to pay the filing fee by the stated deadline would result in the action being dismissed without prejudice. *Id.* at 3 (citing Doc. 14). At the time the Report was filed, more than one week had passed since the deadline set by the court for Plaintiff to pay the filing fee. *Id.* As of today, Plaintiff still has not paid the filing fee. *See* Docs. 1-15.

The magistrate judge concluded that "[b]y not complying with the [c]ourt's order to pay the filing fee despite being warned that failure would result in dismissal, [Ms.] LaRose has prevented this action from proceeding and has thus failed to prosecute this lawsuit." Report 4. As a result, the magistrate judge recommends that the court dismiss this action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b) for failure to follow the court's order. *Id*.

Having considered Plaintiff's pleadings, the file, the record in this case, and the Report, the court determines that the findings and conclusions of the magistrate judge are correct and accepts them as those of the court. Accordingly, the court dismisses without prejudice this action, pursuant to Rule 41(b), as a result of Plaintiff's failure to prosecute and comply with a court order.

It is so ordered this 5th day of February, 2025.

Sam Q. Lindsay

United States District Judge